

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTO	R ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/659,112	09/11/2000	Arthur Cameron Wilson	9D-RG-19254	4522	
7590 11/26/2003		/2003	EXAMIN	EXAMINER	
John S. Beuli		COCKS, JO	COCKS, JOSIAH C		
Armstrong Tea Suite 2600	isuale LLF		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Metropolitan Square St. Louise, MO 63102			3749 DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003	15	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,
Advisory Action	09/659,112	WILSON, ARTHUR	CAMERON
Advisory Addion	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Josiah C. Cocks	3749	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cov r sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensor CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the distallutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does N	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:		<u>.</u>	
		Josiah Cocks Patent Examiner Art Unit 3749	8

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/659,112

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The limitation of claim 1 that the legs are attached to the first portion, and the limitations of claim 9 of a first portion and legs attached to the first portion raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.